Abstract

In this paper computational linguistics explained briefly and recent computational linguistic researches about Uyghur Language are summarized. With developing computer technology, computer based researches about different languages have been made a big progress. For example, content management of a text, information retrieval, dialog systems, document clustering, text mining, spell checking, speech to text conversion, text to speech conversion and automatic translation between different languages (machine translation) systems have been developed and used in real life. Though there are so many computational researches have been done about some languages such as Finish, Japanese, Hungarian and Turkish that member of Ural-Altaic languages family, but the researches about some other languages, for example such as Uyghur language, are less known. To improve computational researches and analyze relations between different languages, latest computational researches about Uyghur language, especially fundamental works that related to machine translation are summarized in this article mainly. At the same time, relations between linguistics and computational linguistics are analyzed.
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Introduction

In science, the field that studies languages with computer science is called computational linguistics. Computational Linguistics consists of two components such as computer science and linguistics. The main contribution of linguistics in computational linguistics are, analyze all property of a natural language without making any constraints. It means don't change the natural property of a natural language. In this aspect, natural language analyzing is completely different form analyzing formal languages such as computer programming languages. The responsibility of computer science is, design and implement algorithms that solve problems related to a language. There are some different subfields of computational linguistics, for example, speech recognition which deals how a spoken language could be understand by a computer, speech synthesis that studies how to generate a speech with texts, information retrieval that gets main information from a big size of document etc. One of the most popular fields of the computer linguistics is machine (mechanical) translation between different languages. The first most simple machine translation was completed in 1950’s. This system used to translate some Russian political sentences into English. However, at that time, the computers were very expensive and the software technology were not developed as today, the expected system was not successful and the famous ALPAC report was published (Hutchins 1995: 439-440). This report made a negative effect on this filed, but these researches continued different parts of the world. For example, the translation system RUSLAN that translates from Check to Russian was developed (Hajic 1997). After this translation system, different translation systems have been implemented such as Check to Slovak (Hajic 2000), Japanese to English (Nagao 1994), French to English and German to English (Hanneman 2008). The computational researches about Turkic languages so far have been done on the Turkish language. There are many computational research groups in different universities in Turkey and many machine translation systems such as Turkish to Azerbaijani (Hamzaoğlu 1993), Turkish to Crimean Tatar (Altıntaş 2000) and Turkmen to Turkish (Tantuğ 2007) have been implemented. The main properties of theses translation systems about the Turkic languages are, they all based the same technology, two level morphological analyzer that developed for Turkish (Koskenniemi 1983). For implementation of the morphological analyzer of the Crimean Tatar (Altıntaş 2001) and Turkmen language (Tantuğ 2006), the same tags used that used to implement Turkish morphological analyzer (Oflazer 1995).

The computational researches about Uyghur language are still initiating stage and this paper summarizes main computational researches of the Uyghur language. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section will introduce Uyghur languages and Uyghur alphabets. Section three introduces morphological analyzer for Uyghur languages. Section four introduces morphological disambiguator. Section five introduces the development of Uyghur corpus. Section six introduces the proposed machine translation systems from Uyghur to Turkish. Section seven gives a conclusion about computational researches about Uyghur language.

Uyghur Language and Alphabets

The Uyghur language is a Turkic language that belongs to Ural- Altaic language family. The Uyghur language is spoken mainly by Uyghur people that live in Sin Kiang, the North West part of China. Except this there are some Uyghur people live in Central Asian Turkic republics, Turkey, Europe and USA. In history, Uyghur people invented different alphabets (Orhun-Yenisey, Old Uyghur, Chagaatai, and Latin) and used them at different period of times (Kaşgarlı 1992: 41-57). At present Uyghur people are using the Arabic alphabet officially in Sin Kiang. They have been using this alphabet since 1983 (Kaşgarlı 1992:44). In Uyghur literature there are many important publications have been published with these alphabets such as Latin and Arabic scripts (Ayup 2014). In some case, to understand
previous published literature in different alphabets, it is necessary to understand old
critics as well (Abdulla 2016). As a result of the alphabet reform happened in recent
history, there are lot of people prefer to use the Latin alphabet unofficially that used before
the Arabic Alphabet. Therefore most of the web pages are published both in Arabic and
Latin scripts. Unfortunately there is not an official organization to standardize alphabets
for computers, different software companies developed their own encoding system for
characters. In order to solve these problems and standardize both of Arabic and Latin
characters for computer, an extensive computational researches have been done (Duval
2006).

With the availability of the computers, especially Internet, the Latin alphabet is getting
popular even before. Most of web sites are publish with two different alphabets such as,
Arabic, Latin and Cyrillic1. Due to none of the web developers get the formal training about
Latin alphabet, there were some confusion happened about double characters. In order
to solve this problem, the Uyghur Computer Science Association2 (UKIJ3) is formed by some
volunteer researchers. This association coded all of the Arabic characters with Unicode
first and then implemented a software that translates Arabic characters to modified Latin
script. This software distributed freely through the Internet and made a big contribution
for Uyghur language. In this way, all of the documents began to be written with these
characters and this alphabet become standard alphabet for Uyghur language. The
comparison between Arabic and Latin scripts is given in Figure 1. In this new Latin script;
some double characters are used to represent some Arabic characters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>sh</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>j/zh</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>ch</th>
<th>j</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ق</td>
<td>ل</td>
<td>ک</td>
<td>ئ</td>
<td>م</td>
<td>ن</td>
<td>ه</td>
<td>تُو</td>
<td>نُو</td>
<td>نُو</td>
<td>ُو</td>
<td>ُو</td>
<td>ق</td>
<td>ه</td>
<td>ي</td>
<td>ي</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>é</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>ü</td>
<td>ó</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>q</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arabic-Script Uyghur alphabet and its unified correspondence in Latin-Script (Duval
2006).

Uyghur language is an agglutinative language and new words can be created with adding
suffix to a root word. After a word has been added to a root word, the property of the root
word may be changed according to added suffix. After a root word has been added an
suffix, A new word will be created and this created word can be added by another suffixes.
For example4: one of Uyghur root word and some of possible generated words are given in
Table I.

Table 1: Uyghur words that generated from the root word “ish”

---

1 Cyrillic is the official alphabet in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan republics. Cyrillic alphabet is not explained in
this article.
2 It is a nongovernmental organization supported by linguists and software engineers. This organization makes
software with GPL license and supports to develop software technology for Uyghur and other Turkic people in
the region.
3 UKIJ: abbreviation of Uyghur Computer Science Association in Uyghur language of ,”Uyghur Kompyuté Ilimi
Jemiyiti (UKIJ)”. http://www.ukij.org/fonts/
4 All of the examples in this paper are written in Latin Uyghur scripts.
Murat ORHUN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uyghur</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  ish</td>
<td>work, task, job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  ish+chi</td>
<td>worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  ish+xana</td>
<td>office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  ish+le</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ish+le+me</td>
<td>Do not work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  ish+siz</td>
<td>jobless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  ish+chi+lar+ning+ki+mu</td>
<td>Is the things that belong to workers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  ish+chi+lir+imiz+ning+ki+dek</td>
<td>it seems that look like to our workers'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to analyze a word with a computer, all of the words must be recognized by a computer first. It means all words in a dictionary or in a language must be saved in a computer memory. Theoretically, there are millions of words can be generated with adding affixes to a root word (Oflazer 1995: 138). As a result, the most efficient way to implement a dictionary is, design it that consists up with root words only and represent all affixes and suffixes with related tags. After that design rules to connect root words and suffixes according language grammar.

In previous example the word “ish” (work) can be analyzed as: the root of the word is, “ish” it is a noun, in singular form, doesn’t have personal suffix and doesn’t have any case suffixes.

In the same way, the word on the second row, “ish+chi” (worker) can be analyzed as: the root of the word is, “ish”, it is noun, in singular form, doesn’t have personal affix and doesn’t have case suffixes either. But there is one more affix here, “chi”. In Uyghur language the “chi” suffix generates a noun when followed a noun (Tömür 1997: 85, Osmanof 1997: 906, Öztürk 1993: 25). Also, the “chi” suffix creates an adjective if it follows a noun or an adjective (Tömür 1997: 119). In this way, instead of saving two words such as “ish” and “ish+chi”, just save the root word “ish” in a dictionary only.

In order to analyze Uyghur words with a computer, a morphological analyzer have been implemented with the finite states automate technology (Orhun 2009a; Orhun 2009b ). In this morphological analyzer there are 600 root words and 100 affixes are used. Also there are 30 more two-level rules are used to simply the analyzer (Orhun 2010). Because the root words are limited in this morphological analyzer, therefore it is not possible to analyze all words in the contemporary Uyghur language. Also this morphological analyzer could analyze basic Uyghur words, unfortunately cannot analyze adapted words that taken from other languages such as, Chinese, Russian, Persian ant Arabic etc.

Even though this morphological analyzer is still under developing stage, words that recorded its dictionary could be analyzed. For example, with this analyzer, the word “ish” can be analyzed as:
ish: ish+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom

In the solution, the “+” sing represents the morpheme borders of a word. The solution
could be read as: the root of the word is a noun (Noun), it is in singular form (A3sg),
doesn't take personal suffixes (Pnon), and doesn't take case suffix (Nom) either. If the word
“ishchilarını” is analyzed, the following solution could be generated:

ish+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom^DB+Noun+Agt+A3pl+Pnon+Acc

This solution could be read as: the root of the word is “ish”, it is a noun (Noun), in singular
form (A3sg), doesn't have personal suffix (Pnon), doesn't have case suffix (Nom), a new
type word is generated (+DB), the type of the new word is, noun (Noun), the suffix is
(Agt)\(^5\), this noun is in plural form (A3pl), doesn't have personal suffix but it has the
accusative suffix (Acc).

Morphological analyzer is one of the most important works for any kind language.
Especially for agglutinative languages, the first thing is implement a morphological
analyzer. Because, with a morphological analyzer, all of the properties of a word could be
analyzed in detail. In general, a morphological analyzer is implemented according to a
specific languages grammar. So a morphological analyzer can be used as word recognized
of a language at the same time. If an invalid word tried to analyze, no solution will be
generated.

There are two possibilities for this case if no solutions is generated, may be the related
root word is not found in the dictionary, or the word is constructed not grammatically. For
example: if the word, “kitap+ni+lar” submit to Uyghur morphological
analyzer, there are no
results will output. Because, in Uyghur language, no affixes are followed after the
accusative suffix “ni”. So this word "kitap+ni+lar” is an invalid word. The correct form of
this word is: "kitap+lar+ni” Most of the spell checking and correcting algorithms work on
this principle.

**Morphological Disambiguator**

In general, we prefer to get as many information as possible about a word with a
morphological analyzer. But if the next approach is about machine translation, then we
need to use only one solution. The task selection the correct morphological solution of a
word is called morphological disambiguation.

For example the word “at” will be generate the following solution if analyzed with the
current Uyghur morphological analyzer:

at+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom

at+Verb+Pos+Imp+A2sg

The first solutions means, the root word is “at” and it is a “noun”, but the second solution
means, the root of the word is “at” and it is a “verb”. Suppose we are going to implement a
machine translation system, so which solution we should use? Because if use “at” as a
noun, then we should translate such as, “ad”(name), or “at”(horse) and if we translate it as
a verb, then we need to translate it such as “throw”. So the morphological disambiguation
is really very important and crucial task of the natural language processing.

In general there are two kinds of solutions are used, corpus based solution and rule based
solution. Both of the solutions are related to a context of a word that occurs in it. For
Uyghur language, both of the solutions have been implemented recently. In the corpus
based solution, there is a raw Uyghur corpus have been used that consists up with 594,192
words. Then this corpus is divided into some sub corpus in order to calculate some specific
word categories (Aisha 2009a, Aisha 2009b )

\(^5\) In this morphological analyzer, the "Agt" tag is used to mark the "chi" suffix.
For rule based system, some specific rules are defined according to the Uyghur grammar (Orhun 2010). With this rule, some general ambiguities about a word could be solved. For example: the sentence, “bu yaz issiq” (this summer is hot) will be generates the following solutions when analyzed with the morphological analyzer:

- bu: bu+Pron+Demons+A3sg+Pnon+Nom
- yaz: yaz+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom*
- yaz: yaz+Verb+Pos+Imp+A2sg
- issiq: issiq+Adj

As a result there are two solutions are generated about the “yaz” word. In Uyghur languages the “yaz” will be translated as “summer” when it solved as noun, and will be translated as “write” if solved as a “verb”. In this sentence the “yaz” should be solved as a noun according to its context. In this sentence, the rule to select the correct solution is related to a verb. In Uyghur language, an imperative verb always occurs end of a sentence. But in this sentence it is occurred middle of a sentence (before the adjective “issiq”), so the solution that related to a “verb” is not valid. In this way the correct solution related to a “noun” is selected correctly.

Another example, "sen kitap yaz" (write a book), are will be generated following solutions when analyzed:

- sen+Pron+Pers+A2sg+Pnon+Nom
- kitap+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom
- yaz: yaz+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom
- yaz: yaz+Verb+Pos+Imp+A2sg

In these solutions, there is only one solution for, “sen” and “kitap” except, “yaz”. If there is only one solution for a word, then that solution could be accepted as a valid solution directly. Unfortunately, there are so many ambiguous words in Uyghur languages and the percentage is 1.44 solutions for per word (Orhun 2010). In this sentence, the rule to select the solution is between a “verb” and a “noun” that occur end of a sentence. In Uyghur language, in most of the cases, verbs occur end of a sentence. Because of this reason, we can select the solution that solved as a verb. Also, one more reason that we select the solution which is about a verb is, the verb is in “imperative” mood, tagged with “Imp”. So all of the imperative verbs definitely occur end of sentence.

The problem about rule-based solution is, it is necessary to detect all of the cases. As a natural language there are many rules exist and it is difficult to define all of them. For corpus base solution, the correct solution could be selected if the corpus has enough data.

**Corpus**

In linguistics, a corpus or text corpora is a large and structured set of texts. They are used to statically analyzing words automatically. With increasing electronic documents, especially availability of the Internet, the corpus based linguistics systems getting more popular than rule based systems. Because, if a good implemented corpus available, then most problems about a language such as, morphological ambiguity and word sense ambiguity could be solved without defining any rules. For example the WordNet (Goerge 1995) corpus was begun to construct in 1985 and supported with big amount of funds for English. Later for English then extended to other languages.
In general, a corpus consists up with a large set of data, for example, the WordNet\(^6\) corpus has 207,000 word-sense pairs in total. In usual, the annotated corpus are preferred but their drawbacks are, about their sizes. Because in an annotated corpora all of the sentences must be solves according to a language grammar. Also it must be correct. So it needs very professional linguistic knowledge. Most of the time annotated corpus are referred as TreeBank corpora (Abeille 2003).

For Uyghur language, the beginning of constructing the Uyghur corpus was began in 2001. After that many improvements have been made. One of the first any systematic development of the Uyghur corpus is, the tagged Uyghur corpus that was implemented by Yusup and Lee (Aibaidula 2003). In this corpus there are 8 million words used that selected from daily newspaper, school books (from elementary to university), economics, history, religion etc. In this corpus there are 60,000 root words and 1300 suffixes (terminal) were used. There are 12 main tags and 50 sub tags were used to annotate corpus words. For example, the “noun” category is represented with “N”. If the noun is related to a “time” then it is represented with “Nw”. Also the are some rules defined according to Uyghur grammar. All of the words are tagged according to statistical results and defined rules. Based on this corpus, there are many knowledge based application have been implemented such as word processing, phrase processing etc. (Aibaidula 2009).

**Machine translation between Turkic languages**

All of the Turkic languages have the same syntax. This is one of the main advantages for machine translation systems between different languages. All of the Turkic languages compared syntactically and morphologically in detail by Tantuğ (Tantuğ 2007). After his research he proposed a hybrid system that translates between all of the Turkic languages (Tantuğ 2007). Also he implemented a machine translation system between Turkmen and Turkish (Tantuğ 2007b).

From his view, a machine translation system has been implemented between Uyghur and Turkish (Orhun 2011). This system translates simple sentences from Uyghur to Turkish. For example, the Uyghur sentence “men kitap yazdim” (I wrote a book) can be translated into Turkish with the following process. First the source sentence will be analyzed with the Uyghur morphological analyzer such as:

- men: men+Pron+Pers+A1sg+Pnon+Nom
- kitap: gosh+Noun+A3sg+Pnon+Nom
- yazdim: yaz+Verb+Pos+Past+A1sg

After each of the words is analyzed, then the root words are translated according to a bilingual dictionary:

- man -> ben
- kitap -> kitap
- yaz -> yaz

After the root words have been translated in to Turkish, the root words are combined with the morphemes (suppose there is not morphological ambiguity).

- ben: men+Pron+Pers+A1sg+Pnon+Nom

---

\(^6\) WordNet: This corpus is improving continuously, see: http://wndomains.fbk.eu/
After this morphological root words and morphemes have been submitted to the morphological generator of the Turkish language (Oflazer 1995), and then the surface sentence will be generated as:

"ben kitap aldım"

The main difference between Uyghur to Turkish and Turkmen to Turkish is, there are disambiguation rules used in Uyghur to Turkish translation system. In this system a word-sense disambiguation system is implemented in order to improve translation quality. Though both of the Uyghur and Turkish language have the same sentence structure, still there are some differences related to morphemes (Orhun 2009c).

Conclusion

In this paper most resent computer based researches about Uyghur languages have been summarized. Actually some rule-based and corpus based machine translation systems have been implemented for Uyghur language. For example, translation systems from Uyghur to Japanese (Mahsun 2004, Nimaiti 2012) and Uyghur to Chinese (Yang 2009, Dong 2010). As we know in natural language processing, the constructing corpus is very important filed and most of the recent researches are based corpus. Even the Uyghur corpus have been constructed, it is still not available through the world for educational purpose. In case a researcher wants to do a research for Uyghur languages, especially intended methods related statical calculation, the first thing is to implement a test corpus (Aisha 2009a, Aisha 2009b, Belkiz 2007a, Belkiz 2007b; Eziz 2007 ). Because of different tags are used in these corpus, it is impossible to test different researchers methods. In Turkey, all of the natural language processing researches are based in the same tags that used in Turkish Treebank corpora (Atalay 2003). Therefore, if all researchers use the same tags in their researches for different Turkic languages, it is possible to develop a system that works together.
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